

**Stat 314, Problem Set 1**  
**Autumn 2013/14**

Due in class on paper, Thursday October 17, 2013

This one is individual effort; later ones will feature team work.

1. Problems from the text: 2.2, 2.3, 3.1, 3.3, 3.4, 3.7
2. The file `ducks.txt` has data on 11 ducks. These ducks were a cross between mallards and pintails. Their plumage and behavior were both rated on scales, with higher values being more pintail-like.

Compute the empirical likelihood for the mean of these data on a grid of points covering the rectangle  $[4, 15] \times [3, 15]$ . This is a bounding box for data and it includes many points outside of the convex hull.

You may use the empirical likelihood R code in the course web page. For data in the matrix  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ , calling `emplik(Z,mu)` will test whether they are IID with mean  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . The default  $\mu$  is 0. So you can also call `emplik(ZZ)` where  $ZZ$  has had  $\mu$  subtracted from each row.

Values outside of the convex hull will give super small log likelihoods, such as  $-700$  or less.

Plot contours of empirical likelihood corresponding to 90, 95, 99 and 99.9 percent confidence levels. Superimpose the actual data. Hint: it is tricky to get contour and image plots just right. Using a  $k \times (k+1)$  grid will expose errors you might make that a square  $k \times k$  grid could hide.

3. Bootstrap the duck data 1000 times. On each bootstrap sample record the empirical log likelihood of  $\bar{X}$  the mean in the original sample. Make a QQ plot comparing the bootstrap values  $-2 \log \mathcal{R}^*(\bar{X})$  to the  $\chi^2_{(2)}$  distribution.
4. For the worm data plot contours of the empirical likelihood for pairs  $(\mu, \sigma)$  where  $\mu$  is the hypothesized mean and  $\sigma^2$  is the hypothesized variance.

Show contours for 50, 90, 95 and 99 percent confidence using a  $\chi^2$  calibration. It takes some exploration to find the relevant range.

For normally distributed data the estimated mean and variance are statistically independent. But these data are skewed.